

TOWARDS COMMUTATOR THEORY FOR RELATIONS. II

PAOLO LIPPARINI

ABSTRACT. We find conditions equivalent to some commutator identities considered in Part I

See Part I [L] for notations.

Theorem 1. *For every variety $\mathcal{V}$, the following are equivalent:*

$$(i) \quad R \subseteq [R, R|1]$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every reflexive compatible relation R on $\mathbf{A}$.

$$(ia) \quad R^* \subseteq [R, R|1]$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every reflexive compatible relation R on $\mathbf{A}$.

$$(ii) \quad R \cap T \subseteq [R, T|1]$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all reflexive compatible relations T, R on $\mathbf{A}$.

$$(iii) \quad (R_1 \circ R_2) \cap T \subseteq (T \cap (R_2^- \circ (T \cap (R_1^- \circ R_1)) \circ R_2))^*$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all reflexive compatible relations R_1, R_2, T on $\mathbf{A}$.

$$(iv) \quad R_1 \cap (T \circ R_2) \subseteq (T \cap (R_2 \circ (T \cap (R_1^- \circ R_1)) \circ R_2^-))^* \circ R_2$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all reflexive compatible relations R_1, R_2, T on $\mathbf{A}$.

$$(v) \quad \beta \cap (T \circ S) \subseteq (T \cap (S \circ (T \cap \beta) \circ S))^* \circ S$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every congruence β , tolerance S and reflexive compatible relation T on $\mathbf{A}$.

$$(vi) \quad \beta \cap (T \circ \gamma) \subseteq (\gamma \circ (T \cap \beta))^*$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all congruences β, γ and every reflexive compatible relation T on $\mathbf{A}$.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 08A30; Secondary 08B10.

Key words and phrases. Commutator, congruence, tolerance, relation, neutral.

The author has received support from MPI and GNSAGA.

(vii) For some n , $\mathcal{V}$ has 4-ary terms f_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= f_0(x, y, z, x), & f_n(x, y, z, y) &= z, \\ f_i(x, y, x, x) &= f_i(x, y, x, y), & \text{for } i &= 0, \dots, n, \\ f_{i-1}(x, y, y, y) &= f_i(x, y, y, x), & \text{for } i &= 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

(viii) $(R_1 \circ R_2 \circ \dots \circ R_{n-1} \circ R_n) \cap T \subseteq$

$$(T \cap (R_n^- \circ (T \cap (R_{n-1}^- \circ \dots (T \cap (R_2^- \circ (T \cap (R_1^- \circ R_1)) \circ R_2)) \dots \circ R_{n-1})) \circ R_n))^*$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all reflexive compatible relations $R_1, \dots, R_n$ and T on $\mathbf{A}$.

Proof. In view of Part I, Theorem 3, if we prove (vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) then (i)-(vii) are all equivalent.

(vi) $\Rightarrow$ (vii) is a slight variation on an argument from [KK]. Consider the free algebra $\mathbf{F}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ generated by the three elements x, y, z , and let $\beta = Cg(x, z)$, $\gamma = Cg(y, z)$, and T be the smallest *admissible reflexive relation* containing (x, y) .

Thus, $(x, z) \in \beta \cap (T \circ \gamma)$ and, by (vi), $(x, z) \in ((T \cap \beta) \circ \gamma)^*$. This means that there is an integer n and there are terms $t_0(x, y, z), \dots, t_{2n+1}(x, y, z)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= t_0(x, y, z), & t_{2n+1}(x, y, z) &= z, \\ (t_{2i}(x, y, z), t_{2i+1}(x, y, z)) &\in T \cap \beta, & \text{for } i &= 0, \dots, n, \text{ and} \\ (t_{2i-1}(x, y, z), t_{2i}(x, y, z)) &\in \gamma, & \text{for } i &= 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

Notice that $T = \{(f(x, y, z, x), f(x, y, z, y)) \mid f \text{ a term of } \mathbf{F}\}$, since the right-hand relation is reflexive, admissible, and contains (x, y) ; moreover, every other reflexive admissible relation containing (x, y) contains all pairs of the form $(f(x, y, z, x), f(x, y, z, y))$.

Hence there are terms $f_i(x, y, z, w)$, $i = 0, \dots, n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= t_0(x, y, z), & t_{2n+1}(x, y, z) &= z, \\ t_{2i}(x, y, z) &= f_i(x, y, z, x), & f_i(x, y, z, y) &= t_{2i+1}(x, y, z), & \text{for } i &= 0, \dots, n, \\ t_{2i}(x, y, x) &= t_{2i+1}(x, y, x), & & \text{for } i &= 0, \dots, n, \text{ and} \\ t_{2i-1}(x, y, y) &= t_{2i}(x, y, y), & & \text{for } i &= 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

By using the identities in the second line, we can express all the other identities by means of the f_i 's, thus getting the desired relations.

(vii) $\Rightarrow$ (i). Let $\mathbf{A}$ be an algebra $\in \mathcal{V}$ and let R be a reflexive compatible relation on $\mathbf{A}$. If $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$ and xRy then for every i the matrix

$$\begin{vmatrix} f_i(x, y, x, x) & f_i(x, y, x, y) \\ f_i(x, y, y, x) & f_i(x, y, y, y) \end{vmatrix}$$

belongs to $M(R, R)$, hence for every i $f_i(x, y, y, x)[R, R|1]f_i(x, y, y, y)$, since $f_i(x, y, x, x) = f_i(x, y, x, y)$.

Thus, $x = f_0(x, y, y, x)[R, R|1]f_0(x, y, y, y) = f_1(x, y, y, x)[R, R|1]f_1(x, y, y, y) = f_2(x, y, y, x) \dots f_{n-1}(x, y, y, y) = f_n(x, y, y, x)[R, R|1]f_n(x, y, y, y) =$

y . This implies that for every $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$, if xRy then $x[R, R|1]y$, that is, $R \subseteq [R, R|1]$.

(viii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) is trivial: just let $R_n, R_{n-1}, \dots, R_3$ be equal to the identity relation.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (viii) is immediate from the next Lemma. $\square$

Lemma 2. *For $R_1, \dots, R_n, S, T$ reflexive compatible relations on some algebra, the following hold:*

- (i) $[R_1 \circ R_2 \circ \dots \circ R_{n-1} \circ R_n, T|1] \subseteq$
 $(T \cap (R_n^- \circ (T \cap (R_{n-1}^- \circ \dots (T \cap (R_2^- \circ (T \cap (R_1^- \circ R_1)) \circ R_2)) \dots \circ R_{n-1})) \circ R_n))^*$
- (ii) $K(R_1 \circ R_2 \circ \dots \circ R_{n-1} \circ R_n, T; S) \subseteq$
 $K(R_n, T; K(R_{n-1}, T; \dots K(R_2, T; K(R_1, T; S)) \dots))$

Notice that (ii) can be restated as follows: define $K_1 = K(R_1, T; S)$, and $K_{i+1} = K(R_{i+1}, T; K_i)$. Then

$$K(R_1 \circ R_2 \circ \dots \circ R_{n-1} \circ R_n, T; S) \subseteq K_n$$

Proof. Compare Lemmata 1(i) and 6(i) in Part I. $\square$

Theorem 3. *For every variety $\mathcal{V}$, the following are equivalent:*

- (i) $R \subseteq [R, R^\circ|1]$
for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every reflexive compatible relation R on $\mathbf{A}$.
- (ia) $R^* \subseteq [R, R^\circ|1]$
for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every reflexive compatible relation R on $\mathbf{A}$.
- (ib) $R^- \subseteq [R, R^\circ|1]$
for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every reflexive compatible relation R on $\mathbf{A}$.
- (ic) $R^\circ \subseteq [R, R^\circ|1]$
for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every reflexive compatible relation R on $\mathbf{A}$.
- (id) $Cg(R) = [R, R^\circ|1]$
for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every reflexive compatible relation R on $\mathbf{A}$.
- (ii) $R \cap T \subseteq [R, T|1]$
for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every tolerance T and every reflexive compatible relation R on $\mathbf{A}$.
- (iii) $(R_1 \circ R_2) \cap T \subseteq (T \cap (R_2^- \circ (T \cap (R_1^- \circ R_1)) \circ R_2))^*$
for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every tolerance T and all reflexive compatible relations R_1, R_2 on $\mathbf{A}$.
- (iv) $R_1 \cap (T \circ R_2) \subseteq (T \cap (R_2 \circ (T \cap (R_1^- \circ R_1)) \circ R_2^-))^* \circ R_2$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every tolerance T and all reflexive compatible relations R_1, R_2 on $\mathbf{A}$.

$$(v) \quad \beta \cap (T \circ S) \subseteq (T \cap (S \circ (T \cap \beta) \circ S))^* \circ S$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for every congruence β and tolerances T, S on $\mathbf{A}$.

$$(vi) \quad \beta \cap (T \circ \gamma) \subseteq \gamma \vee (T \cap \beta)^*$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all congruences β, γ and tolerance T on $\mathbf{A}$.

(vii) For some n , $\mathcal{V}$ has 5-ary terms f_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= f_0(x, y, z, x, y), & f_n(x, y, z, y, x) &= z, \\ f_i(x, y, x, x, y) &= f_i(x, y, x, y, x), & \text{for } i &= 0, \dots, n, \\ f_{i-1}(x, y, y, y, x) &= f_i(x, y, y, x, y), & \text{for } i &= 1, \dots, n \end{aligned}$$

$$(viii) \quad (R_1 \circ R_2 \circ \dots \circ R_{n-1} \circ R_n) \cap T \subseteq$$

$$(T \cap (R_n^- \circ (T \cap (R_{n-1}^- \circ \dots (T \cap (R_2^- \circ (T \cap (R_1^- \circ R_1)) \circ R_2)) \dots \circ R_{n-1})) \circ R_n))^*$$

for every algebra $\mathbf{A} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all reflexive compatible relations $R_1, \dots, R_n$, and tolerance T on $\mathbf{A}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1, using Part I, Theorem 2. (vi) $\Leftrightarrow$ (vii) is due to [KK]. $\square$

Remark 4. We could have defined $[R, S|1]$ to be the *smallest congruence* containing the set

$$\left\{ (z, w) \mid \begin{vmatrix} x & x \\ z & w \end{vmatrix} \in M(R, S) \right\}$$

(rather than its *transitive closure*). With this definition, Theorem 1 still holds, provided $(-)^*$ is replaced everywhere by $Cg(-)$, and condition (vii) is appropriately modified. Notice that $[R, S|1]^- = [R, S^-|1]$, $K(R, S; T)^- = K(R, S^-; T^-)$, and $Cg([R, S|1]) = ([R, S|1] \circ [R, S|1]^-)^* = (K(R, S; 0) \circ K(R, S^-; 0))^*$. Notice also that, say, $Cg(\gamma \circ (T \cap \beta)) = (\gamma \circ (T \cap \beta) \circ (T^- \cap \beta))^*$, if γ and β are congruences and T is reflexive and admissible.

Theorem 5. We still get equivalent conditions if in the statement of Theorem 1: we replace $[-, -|1]$ by $Cg([-, -|1])$ in conditions (i), (ia), (ii), and we replace $(-)^*$ by $Cg(-)$ in conditions (iii)-(vi), (viii), and we modify condition (vii) to:

(vii)' For some even n , $\mathcal{V}$ has 4-ary terms f_i , $i = 0, \dots, n$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} x &= f_0(x, y, z, x), & f_n(x, y, z, y) &= z, \\ f_i(x, y, x, x) &= f_i(x, y, x, y), & \text{for } i \text{ even, } 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ f_{i-1}(x, y, y, y) &= f_i(x, y, y, y), & \text{for } i \text{ odd, } 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ f_i(x, y, x, y) &= f_i(x, y, x, x), & \text{for } i \text{ odd, } 0 \leq i \leq n, \\ f_{i-1}(x, y, y, x) &= f_i(x, y, y, x), & \text{for } i \text{ even, } 1 \leq i \leq n \end{aligned}$$

Problem 6. Classify varieties according to the commutator identities for relations they satisfy. For example, the following properties can be taken into account:

- (i) $R \subseteq [R, R^\circ | 1]$,
- (ii) $R \subseteq [R, R | 1]$,
- (iii) $R^- \subseteq [R, R | 1]$,
- (iv) $R \subseteq Cg([R, R | 1])$,
- (v) $R \subseteq [R, R]$,
- (vi) there is a (weak) difference term with respect to $[R, R^\circ | 1]$,
- (vii) there is a (weak) difference term with respect to $[R, R | 1]$,
- (viii) there is a (weak) difference term with respect to $Cg([R, R | 1])$,
- (ix) there is a (weak) difference term with respect to $[R, R]$.

We have partial results suggesting that a classification as above agrees with D. Hobby and R. McKenzie's classification of locally finite varieties, as extended in most cases to arbitrary varieties by K. Kearnes and others.

REFERENCES

- [KK] K. A. Kearnes, E. W. Kiss, The triangular principle is equivalent to the triangular scheme, preprint.
- [L] P. Lipparini, Towards commutator theory for relations, arxiv:math.GM/0507039 (2005).

DIPARTIMENTO DI MATEMATICA, VIALE DELLA RICERCA SCIENTIFICA, II UNIVERSITÀ
DI ROMA (TOR VERGATA), ROME ITALY
E-mail address: `lipparin@axp.mat.uniroma2.it`
URL: `http://www.mat.uniroma2.it/~lipparin`